

Project Plan – April 2021

#### PARTICIPANTS

#### **Core Oversight Team**

Eliza Chakravarty, MD. MS (Principal Investigator) Joann Fontanarosa, PhD (Literature Review Leader) Elie A. Akl, MD, MPH, PhD (GRADE Expert)

Kevin Winthrop, MD, MPH Leonard Calabrese, DO Laura Cappelli, MD, MHS, MS Clifton O. Bingham, MD (Additional 1-2 members TBD, including at least one pediatric rheumatologist)

#### Literature Review Team

Casandra Calabrese, DO Joanne S. Cunha, MD Miriah C. Gillispie-Taylor, MD Elena Gkrouzman, MD Priyanki C. Iyer, MD Alex Legge, MD Mindy Lo, MD, PhD Megan Lockwood, MD Beth Rutstein, MD Rebecca E. Sadun, MD, PhD Kimberly Showalter, MD, MS Namrata Singh, MBBS Nancy Sullivan, BA Herman Tam, MBBS, MSc Marat Turgunbaev, MD, MPH ACR Board Liaison Anne R. Bass, MD

## Voting Panel

Eleanor Anderson Williams, MD Reuben J. Arasaratnam, MD Lindsey R. Baden, MD, MSc Anne Bass, MD Jonathan T.L. Cheah, MD Ida Hakkarinen (*Patient*) Benjamin J. Smith, PA-C, DFAAPA Jeffrey Sparks, MD, MMSc Tiphanie Vogel, MD, PhD (Additional members, including a separate pediatric voting panel, TBD)

Patient Panel Ida Hakkarinen (Other patients TBD)

## ACR Staff

Cindy Force Regina Parker Amy Turner



## Project Plan – April 2021

1	ORGANIZATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND SUPPORT
2	
3	This clinical practice guideline is being developed by the American College of Rheumatology
4	(ACR) with funding from the ACR.
5	
6	BACKGROUND
7	
8	Rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) affect a large proportion of adults and children
9	in the United States [1]. These conditions are largely incurable and require prolonged use of
10	medications to suppress disease activity, slow damage accrual, improve physical function and
11	maximize health-related quality of life. Many of these RMDs (e.g., autoimmune rheumatic
12	diseases), as well as many of the immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory therapies used to
13	manage them, can place patients at higher risk of developing common or opportunistic
14	infections (including vaccine-preventable infections) and may also affect responses to vaccines.
15	
16	Vaccines have been long used worldwide to reduce illness from common viral and bacterial
17	pathogens. Recommendations for standardized vaccine schedules for both children and adults have
18	been widely adopted, for healthy people as well as those with chronic medical conditions. [2, 3]
19	
20	Individuals with RMD and those receiving immunomodulatory therapy may be more susceptible
21	to vaccine-preventable disease, or at higher risk of developing more serious complications of
22	the disease should they become infected, suggesting that vaccination is an important strategy
23	to reduce comorbid illness in affected patients. Therefore, individuals with RMD may benefit
24	from alterations in the standard vaccination schedule or temporary adjust immunomodulatory
25	medication schedules in order to maximize vaccine responsiveness and lower the likelihood and
26	severity of vaccine-preventable illness.
27	
28	Because vaccines fundamentally work by generating an effective immune response against
29	pathogens, their effectiveness relies upon the function of an individual's immune system to
30	recognize the pathogenic antigen(s) introduced by the vaccine and to generate a neutralizing
31	immune response. Individuals with RMD and those on chronic immunosuppressive therapy
32	may have impaired responses to vaccines that may reduce protection against vaccine-
33	preventable illnesses.
34	



## Project Plan – April 2021

35 Some of these issues have been addressed in ACR practice guidelines for the management of

different diseases (e.g., RA, JIA), but because many issues regarding optimal vaccine use to

37 reduce the burden of vaccine-preventable illness apply across a wide range of RMDs and

38 immunosuppressive medications, the ACR created a dedicated group to review and compile

data related to vaccination among all RMDs, particularly autoimmune and inflammatory

40 rheumatic diseases (AIIRD) and the immunosuppressant and immunomodulating therapies

41 used to manage such diseases.

42

43 The ultimate goal of this guideline is to provide recommendations regarding vaccinations in

44 RMD populations, including if and when standardized vaccine schedules need to be altered due

to underlying disease or its therapies, or conversely, if temporary adjustments to the

46 immunosuppressive medication schedule should be made to optimize the efficacy and safety of

47 a vaccination. Unfortunately, there will be limited high-quality direct evidence to address these

issues comprehensively for every situation. Therefore, important questions have been included

49 that consolidate relative issues of vaccine safety and efficacy in different situations facing RMD

50 populations so that patients and providers may use the compiled background data to make

51 informed decisions about individual vaccines and current or planned therapeutic regimens.

52

## 53 **OBJECTIVES**

54

55 The objective of this project is to develop evidence-based recommendations for vaccination in 56 adults and children with RMDs including those on immunosuppressive or immunomodulating 57 medications. In many cases, data are not available comparing different vaccination strategies 58 to guide recommendations; therefore, indirect evidence of safety and efficacy (or

59 immunogenicity as a surrogate) will be compiled to inform individual decision-making.

- The recommendations will cover clinically relevant vaccines that are recommended for
  use in the U.S. as well as select vaccines recommended for travelers or other sub populations.
- The recommendations will cover autoimmune and inflammatory RMDs in adults and
  children that inherently affect the immune system or that often utilize
  immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory medications for management.
- The recommendations will cover commonly used immunomodulatory medications
  including glucocorticoids, conventional and targeted synthetic disease modifying
  antirheumatic drugs (csDMARDs and tsDMARDs), traditional immunosuppressant
  medications, and biologic therapies that are commercially available in the United States.



## Project Plan – April 2021

- 71 Specifically, we aim to:
- 72 1. Review the evidence for the risks of vaccine-preventable disease in individuals with 73 RMD compared to the general population. 74 2. Review the evidence for the immunogenicity and clinical efficacy and safety of vaccines 75 in RMD populations by underlying disease and immunomodulatory therapy. 76 3. Develop recommendations regarding the use of the high dose quadrivalent annual 77 influenza vaccine in RMD patients on different immunomodulatory therapies. 78 4. Develop recommendations regarding altering the Center for Disease Control Advisory 79 Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) [2] schedule of vaccines for RMD patients on different immunomodulatory therapies, including: 80 a. Deferring vaccinations in relation to disease activity and/or immunomodulatory 81 82 medication use b. Use of vaccines at age ranges outside of recommended guidelines in relation to 83 84 the underlying RMD and/or immunomodulatory therapy 85 5. Develop recommendations regarding temporary adjustments in immunomodulatory medication dosing to maximize vaccine efficacy and responsiveness including: 86
  - a. Timing vaccinations with respect to intermittently dosed mediations
    - b. Holding medications before or after vaccinations

#### 89 METHODS

90 Identification of Studies

91

87

88

92 Literature search strategies, based upon PICO questions (Population/patients, Intervention,

93 Comparator, and Outcomes; see Appendix A), will be developed by a medical research librarian

- 94 in consultation with the Core Team. The search strategies will be peer reviewed by another
- 95 medical librarian using Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies (PRESS) [4]. Searches will be
- 96 performed in OVID Medline (1946 +), Embase (1974 +), the Cochrane Library, and PubMed
- 97 (mid-1960s +).
- 98
- 99 The search strategies will be developed using the controlled vocabulary or thesauri language for
- 100 each database: Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for OVID Medline, PubMed and Cochrane
- 101 Library; and Emtree terms for Embase. Text words will also be used in OVID Medline, PubMed,
- and Embase, and keyword/title/abstract words in the Cochrane Library.



#### Project Plan – April 2021

104	Search Limits
105	
106	Only English language articles will be retrieved.
107	
108	Grey Literature
109	
110	The websites of appropriate agencies, such as the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
111 112	(AHRQ), will be searched for peer-reviewed reports not indexed by electronic databases.
113	Literature Search Update
114	
115	Literature searches will be updated just prior to the voting panel meeting to ensure
116	completeness.
117	
118	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
119	
120	See PICO questions (see below), which outline the defined patient population, interventions,
121	comparators, and outcomes. Case reports and case series with fewer than 10 patients will be
122	excluded.
123	
124	Management of Studies and Data
125	
126	References and abstracts will be imported into bibliographic management software (Reference
127	Manager) [5], duplicates removed, and exported to Distiller SR, a web-based systematic review
128	manager [6]. Screening forms will be created in Distiller SR. Search results will be divided
129	among reviewers, and two reviewers will screen each title/abstract, with disagreements at the
130	title/abstract screening stage defaulting to inclusion for full manuscript review. Following the
131	same dual review process, disagreements at the full manuscript screening stage will be
132 133	discussed and adjudicated by the literature review leadership, if necessary.
133	Phases
135	
135	1. A search for randomized controlled trials and observational studies about interventions
137	will be performed to identify existing studies assessing the outcomes of interest.
138	Subsequently, we will conduct meta-analyses of identified studies using the RevMan



# Project Plan – April 2021

software [7] and the rating of the certainty of evidence following the GRADE 139 methodology (and using the GRADEPro tool) [8]. 140 Chosen studies will be assessed for risk of bias using modified versions of the Cochrane 141 142 Risk of Bias tool [9] and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale [10]. 3. Additionally, recently published systematic reviews covering outcomes of interest will 143 144 also be sought and used for reference cross-checking. 145 GRADE Methodology 146 147 148 GRADE methodology [11] will be used in this project to rate the certainty of the available 149 evidence and facilitate the development of recommendations. The certainty of the evidence (also known as 'quality' of evidence) will be rated as high, moderate, low or very low. This 150 rating is based upon the judgment of the GRADE criteria for downgrading (risk of bias, 151 152 inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias) or upgrading the certainty of 153 evidence (large magnitude of effect, dose-response gradient, and all plausible confounding that 154 would reduce a demonstrated effect). The strength of recommendations will be graded as 155 strong or conditional. The strength of recommendations will depend upon the balance of benefits and harms, the certainty in the evidence, and patients' preferences and values. A 156 157 series of articles that describe the GRADE methodology can be found on the GRADE working 158 group's website: www.gradeworkinggroup.org. 159 160 Analysis and Synthesis 161 162 The literature review team will analyze and synthesize data from included studies that address the PICO questions using Review Manager (RevMan) [7]. A GRADE evidence profile and a 163

Summary of Findings table will be prepared for each PICO question using the GRADEprofiler (GRADEpro) software (8). For each critical or important outcome, the GRADE Summary of

- Findings table will contain the anticipated absolute effect, the relative effect (95% CI), the number of participants/number of studies, and the certainty in the evidence (i.e., high,
- 168 moderate, low or very low).
- 169
- 170 For each critical or important outcome, the GRADE evidence profile will contain the same
- 171 information as in a Summary of Findings table, in addition to detailed judgments and
- 172 justifications for the GRADE criteria for downgrading or upgrading the certainty of evidence.
- 173



## Project Plan – April 2021

174 If a meta-analysis is not possible (e.g., data are from non-comparative studies or not in a format 175 amendable to pooling) we will summarize the available evidence (or lack thereof) in a narrative 176 format instead.

177

#### 178 Development of Recommendation Statements

179

180 PICO questions will be revised into drafted recommendation statements. Using the evidence summaries developed by the literature review team, the voting panel will consider the drafted 181 recommendation statements in two stages. The first assessment will be done individually, and 182 183 the results will be anonymous; this vote will only be used to determine where consensus might 184 or might not already exist and develop the voting panel meeting agenda. During the voting panel meeting, chaired by the principal investigator, the panelists will discuss the evidence in 185 the context of their clinical experience and expertise to arrive at consensus on the final 186 187 recommendations. The voting panel meeting discussions will be supported by the literature 188 review leader, the GRADE expert, and selected members of the literature review team, who will 189 attend the meeting to provide details about the evidence, as requested. Voting panel 190 discussions and decisions will be informed by a separately convened patient panel (which will meet in the days before the voting panel meeting) to provide unique patient perspectives on 191 192 the drafted recommendations based upon their experiences and the available literature. Two 193 members of the separate patient panel will participate as full, voting members of the voting 194 panel that determines the final recommendations; their role at the voting panel meeting will be to explicitly represent the patient panel's views to other voting panel members during 195 discussions and decision-making. 196 197

#### 198 PLANNED APPENDICES (AT MINIMUM)

- 199
- 200 A. Final literature search strategies
- 201 B. Evidence summaries for each PICO question, including GRADE evidence profiles and
- summary of findings tables, when available
- 203

## 204 AUTHORSHIP

- Authorship of the guideline will include principal investigator, Dr. Eliza Chakravarty, as the lead
- 207 author and voting panel leader; Dr. Joann Fontanarosa, literature review leader; Dr. Elie A. Akl,
- 208 GRADE expert; Drs. Clifton Bingham, Leonard Calabrese, Laura Cappelli and Kevin Winthrop,



209 210 211 212 213	literatu author	t experts; and any other Core Team members added to the leadership. Members of the are review team and voting panel will also be authors. The PI will determine final ship, dependent upon the efforts made by individuals throughout the guideline pment process, using international authorship standards as guidance.
214	DISCLO	OSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
215 216 217 218 219	project	R's disclosure and COI policies for guideline development will be followed for this These can be found in the ACR Guideline Manual on <u>this page of the ACR web site,</u> Policies & Procedures. <i>See Appendix E for participant disclosures</i> .
220	REFERI	ENCES
221 222	1.	American College of Rheumatology. Rheumatic diseases in America: the problem, the impact, and the answers.
223		https://www.bu.edu/enact/files/2012/10/ACR Whitepaper SinglePg.pdf
224 225	2.	Freedman MS, Bernstein H, Ault KA, et al. Recommended Adult Immunization Schedule, United States, 2021. Ann Int Med 2021; 174 (3): 374-84.
226	3.	Recommended Child and Adolescent Immunization Schedule for ages 18 years or
227		younger. 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/downloads/child/0-18yrs-
228	Л	child-combined-schedule.pdf
229 230	4.	Sampson M, McGowan J, Lefebvre C, Moher D, Grimshaw J. PRESS: Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies. Ottawa: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in
230		Health; 2008.
232	5.	Reference Manager [software]. Thomson Reuters; 2013. <u>http://www.refman.com/</u>
233	6.	Distiller SR. Ottawa, Canada: Evidence Partners; 2013. http://systematic-review.net/
234	7.	Review Manager [software]. Oxford (UK): Cochrane Collaboration; 2013.
235		http://ims.cochrane.org/revman
236	8.	GRADEprofiler [software]. Oxford (UK): Cochrane Collaboration; 2013.
237		http://ims.cochrane.org/revman/gradepro
238	9.	Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of
239		Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011.
240	4.0	Available: http://handbook.cochrane.org.
241	10.	Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa
242		Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. 2010.
243		Available: <a href="http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp">http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp</a>



## Project Plan – April 2021

11. GRADE guidelines - best practices using the GRADE framework. 2013. Available: 244 http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/publications/JCE2011.htm 245 246 247 APPENDIX A - PICO Questions (Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome) See appendix B, C, D for lists of diseases, medications, and vaccines. 248 249 **RISKS OF VACCINE-PREVENTABLE DISEASE (INCLUDING CERVICAL/ANAL CANCER FROM HPV)** 250 Prognosis rather than intervention questions 251 252 1. Are patients with RMD disease X at increased risk to contract vaccine-preventable diseases 253 compared to the general population? 254 P - RMD patients 255 C - General population 256 O - Contracting vaccine-preventable diseases 257 258 2. Are patients with RMD disease X at increased risk for more severe outcomes from vaccinepreventable diseases compared to the general population? 259 P - RMD patients 260 261 C - General population O - Outcomes (mortality/morbidity) from vaccine-preventable diseases (will include all markers 262 of severity, e.g., hospitalization, death) 263 264 **QUESTIONS REGARDING VACCINE IMMUNOGENICITY/EFFICACY/SAFETY TO INFORM** 265 266 **GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS** 267 Prognosis rather than intervention questions 3. In patients with [RMD Disease X], what is the effect of [Drug Y/Drug Class] on 268 immunization responses to [Vaccine Z, Vaccine Type] in comparison with [General 269 population, or Drug Y']? 270 P - RMD Disease X 271 272 I - Vaccine Z C1 - Patients receiving drug(s) Y 273 274 C 2 - Patients receiving drug(s) Y 275 C 3 - Healthy controls



- 276 O Immunogenicity (Geometric mean titer (GMT), fold increase in titer, seroconversion,
- 277 seroprotection, cell mediated immunity)
- 278
- **4. In RMD patients, does the immunogenicity or efficacy of Vaccine Z differ in patients taking**
- high-dose steroids as compared to those using lower doses of steroids or those not using steroids?
- 282 P RMD patients taking high dose steroids I Vaccine Z
- 283 C 1- RMD patients taking low dose steroids
- 284 C 2 RMD patients not taking steroids
- 285 O Rates of infection, immunogenicity
- 286
- 287 **5.** In RMD patients on drug Y, do immune responses to neo-antigens (not vaccines) differ
- 288 from responses seen in the general population?
- 289 P RMD patients receiving drug Y
- 290 I Administration of neo-antigen
- 291 C1 Administration of neo-antigen to general population
- 292 C 2 Administration of neo-antigen to RMD patients not receiving Drug Y
- 293 O Immunogenicity
- 294
- 295 6. In patients with [Disease X], is the duration of the immune response to [Vaccine Z]
- 296 diminished compared to [healthy controls]?
- 297 P Disease X
- 298 I Vaccine Z
- 299 C 1 Patients receiving drug(s)
- 300 C 2 Healthy controls
- 301 O Immunogenicity (see question #2), development of vaccine-preventable disease
- 302
- 303 7. Do patients with [Disease X] have higher rates of adverse events following [Vaccine Z]
- 304 compared to [healthy controls]?
- 305 P Disease X
- 306 I Vaccine Z
- 307 C 1 Patients receiving drug(s) Y
- 308 C 2 Healthy controls



	Project Plun – April 2021
309	O - Reactogenicity (fever, vaccine site reactions, myalgia, arthralgia, headache, rhinitis, sore
310	throat)
311	
312	8. Do patients with [Disease X] experience flares of their underlying RMD after immunization
313	with [Vaccine Z]?
314	P - RMD Disease X
315	I - Administer Vaccine Z
316	C - Do not administer vaccine Z
317	O - Increase in disease activity
318	
319	QUESTIONS ABOUT ANNUAL INFLUENZA VACCINE
320	
321	9. In RMD patients age 65 and older, is high dose (Fluzone high dose) influenza vaccine more
322	effective than seasonal regular dose influenza vaccine?
323	P - Patients with RMD age 65 and older
324	I - High dose (Fluzone) influenza vaccine
325	C - Regular dose influenza vaccine
326	O - Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity reactogenicity
327	
328	10. In RMD patients age 65 and older, is adjuvanted influenza vaccine (FLUAD) more effective
329	than seasonal regular dose influenza vaccine?
330	P - Patients with RMD age 65 and older
331	I - FLUAD influenza vaccine
332	C - Regular dose influenza vaccine
333	O - Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity, reactogenicity
334 225	11 In PMD nations, under ago 65 years, is high dass (Elyzona high dass) yearing more affective
335	11. In RMD patients <i>under</i> age 65 years, is high dose (Fluzone high dose) vaccine more effective
336	than seasonal regular dose influenza vaccine?
337	P - Patients with RMD underage 65 I - Fluzone high dose influenza vaccine
338	
339	C - Regular dose influenza vaccine
340 341	O - Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity, reactogenicity
341 342	12. In RMD patients under age 65 years, is adjuvanted influenza vaccine (FLUAD) more effective
342	12. III MAL PALIETIS UNDER AGE US YEATS, IS AUJUVAILLEU IIITUETZA VALLITE (FLOAD) IIIOTE ETELLIVE



## Project Plan – April 2021

- 343 than seasonal regular dose influenza vaccine?
- 344 P Patients with RMD under age 65
- 345 I FLUAD adjuvanted influenza vaccine
- 346 C Regular dose influenza vaccine
- 347 O Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity, reactogenicity
- 348

356

- **13.** In RMD patients, does the immunogenicity or efficacy of influenza vaccine differ in patients
- 350 who have moderate to severely active underlying disease as compared to those in low-disease
- 351 activity or remission?
- 352 P Patients with moderate to severely active RMD
- 353 I Influenza vaccination
- 354 C Patients with quiescent/low disease activity RMD
- 355 O Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity
- **14. In RMD patients, does the immunogenicity or efficacy of influenza vaccine differ in patients**
- 358 taking high dose steroids as compared to those using lower doses of steroids or those not using 359 steroids?
- 360 P RMD patients taking high dose steroids
- 361 I Influenza vaccination
- 362 C1 RMD patients taking low dose steroids
- 363 C 2 RMD patients not taking steroids
- 364 O Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity
- 365
- **15. In RMD patients, does the immunogenicity or efficacy of influenza vaccine differ in patients**
- 367 taking Drug Y as compared to those not using drug Y at the time of vaccination?
- 368 P RMD patients taking Drug Y
- 369 I Influenza vaccination
- 370 C RMD patients not taking drug Y
- 371 O Rates of influenza infection, immunogenicity

372

## 373 QUESTIONS ABOUT TIMING OF VACCINE WITH RESPECT TO IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE

## 374 MEDICATIONS OR DISEASE ACTIVITY

- 375
- **16. Should patients with RMD taking drug Y hold their drug for a period of time prior to or after**



## Project Plan – April 2021

receiving (not live-attenuated) vaccines? 377 P - Patients with RMD on drug Y 378 379 I1 - Hold drug Y prior to vaccine 380 I 2 - Hold drug Y after vaccine C - Usual dosing of drug Y 381 O - Reactogenicity, disease flare, immunogenicity 382 383 384 17. Should patients with RMD who are taking biologic medications with usual dosing schedules of monthly or longer\* schedule (not live-attenuated) vaccine administration relative to next 385 dose of medication? 386 387 P - Patients with RMD on intermittent-dosing biologic medications I 1 - Vaccination 1 month before next biologic medication dose 388 I 2 - Vaccination > 1 month before next biologic medication dose 389 C - No schedule adjustment of vaccine relative to medication dose 390 391 O - Reactogenicity, disease flare, immunogenicity 392 393 \*Rituximab, ocrelizumab, belimumab, ustekinumab, tocilizumab (IV), TNF inhibitors (infliximab, 394 golimumab, certolizumab), IVIg, abatacept (IV), secukinumab, ixekizumab, guselkumab, 395 canakinumab, tildrakizumab, risankizumab 396 397 18. Should moderately to severely ill RMD patients with disease X defer vaccination (for NOT live-attenuated) until disease is better controlled? 398 399 P - RMD patients with moderate to severe active disease I - Delay vaccine until low disease activity or remission 400 401 C - Proceed with vaccinations without change in schedule 402 O - Reactogenicity, immunogenicity 403 **QUESTIONS RELATED TO VACCINATION OUTSIDE OF STANDARDIZED AGE RANGES** 404 405 19. Should RMD patients be vaccinated against HPV at ages older than age 26? 406 407 P - RMD patients older than 26 without complete HPV vaccination I - Vaccinate for HPV 408 C - Do not vaccinate for HPV 409 O - Rates of HPV infection, incidence of HPV-related cancer (cervical, anal, head and neck cancer) 410



411	
412	20. Should RMD patients with RMD receive vaccination against pneumococcus at ages less than
413	65 years?
414	P - RMD patients under age 65 with RMD who have not received pneumococcal vaccine
415	I - Vaccinate against pneumococcus
416	C - No pneumococcal vaccination
417	O - Rates of pneumonia and associated complications, reactogenicity, immunogenicity
418	
419	21. Should RMD patients receive Shingrix vaccine (against varicella zoster virus [VZV]) at ages
420	younger than 50 years?
421	P - RMD patients under 50 years who have not received Shingrix
422	I - Administer Shingrix vaccine
423	C - Do not administer Shingrix vaccine
424	O - Rates of herpes zoster (shingles) and shingles-related complications (post herpetic
425	neuralgia, disseminated herpes zoster infection), reactogenicity, immunogenicity
426	
427	22. Should RMD patients receive standardized regimens of vaccine combinations?
428	P - RMD patients
429	I - Administer vaccines individually rather than in standardized combinations
430	C - Administer combination vaccines according to ACIP guidelines
431	O - Change in RMD disease activity
432	
433	QUESTIONS REGARDING USE OF LIVE-ATTENUATED VACCINES
434	
435	23. Should RMD patients taking drug Y receive live-attenuated vaccines?
436	P - RMD Patients taking drug Y
437	I - Receive live-attenuated vaccine
438	C - Do not receive live-attenuated vaccine
439	O - Development of vaccine-preventable infection
440	DA Che la DAD estimate de la Vibela de la forma de la futura e tente estimate
441	24. Should RMD patients taking drug Y hold the drug for a period of time prior to or after
442	receiving live-attenuated vaccines?
443	P - RMD patients taking drug Y



- 444 I 1 Hold drug Y prior to vaccination
- 445 I 2 Hold drug Y after vaccination
- 446 C No alterations in drug dosing
- 447 O Development of vaccine-preventable infection
- 448
- 449 **25.** Should neonates/infants with second and third trimester antenatal exposure to TNF
- inhibitors or Rituximab receive live-attenuated rotavirus vaccine in their first 6 months of
  life?
- 452 P neonates/infants with 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester exposure to TNF inhibitors or Rituximab
- 453 I Administer rotavirus vaccine in first 6 months of life
- 454 C 1 Do not administer rotavirus vaccine
- 455 C 2 Delay live-attenuated rotavirus vaccine until after first 6 months of life
- 456 O Rates of rotavirus infection
- 457
- 458 26. Should family members of RMD patients receive live-attenuated vaccines?
- 459 P Family member of RMD patients
- 460 I Administration of live-attenuated vaccines
- 461 C Do not administer live-attenuated vaccines
- 462 O Development of vaccine-preventable infection



463	Арр	endix E	8: Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases to be addressed (autoimmune and
464	infla	ammato	ory diseases) "Disease X"
465			
466	1.	Inflam	matory arthropathies
467		a.	Rheumatoid arthritis
468		b.	Psoriatic arthritis
469		с.	Ankylosing spondylitis
470		d.	Seronegative spondyloarthropathies
471		e.	Enthesitis-related arthritis
472		f.	Inflammatory bowel disease-associated arthritis
473		g.	Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis
474			i. Oligoarticular
475			ii. Polyarticular
476			iii. Undifferentiated
477	2.	Conne	ctive tissue diseases
478			Systemic lupus erythematosus
479		b.	Sjogren's syndrome
480		с.	Systemic sclerosis/Scleroderma
481		d.	Idiopathic Inflammatory myopathies
482		e.	Mixed connective tissue disease
483		f.	Undifferentiated connective tissue disease
484		g.	Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome
485			Catastrophic anti-phospholipid syndrome
486	3.	Vascul	
487		a.	ANCA-associated vasculitis
488			i. Granulomatosus with Polyangiitis (Wegener's Granulomatosus)
489			ii. Microscopic polyangiitis
490			iii. Eosinophilic Granulomatosus with Polyangiitis (Churg-Strauss Syndrome)
491		b.	Giant cell arteritis
492		С.	Polyarteritis nodosa
493		d.	Takayasu's arteritis
494		e.	Cryoglobulinemia
495		f.	Relapsing polychondritis
496		g.	Behcet's disease



497		h.	Kawasaki's disease
498		i.	Henoch Schonlein Purpura
499		j.	Primary CNS vasculitis
500		k.	Anti-GBM/Goodpasture's syndrome
501		I.	Cogan's syndrome
502		m	Cutaneous small-vessel vasculitis
503		n.	IgA vasculitis
504		0.	Rheumatoid vasculitis
505		p.	Urticarial vasculitis
506			
507	4.	Inflam	imatory disorders
508		a.	Sarcoidosis
509		b.	Adult-onset Still's disease (systemic onset juvenile idiopathic arthritis)
510		c.	Systemic onset juvenile idiopathic arthritis
511		d.	Polymyalgia rheumatica
512		e.	Gout
513		f.	Pseudogout
514		g.	IgG4-related disease
515		h.	Periodic fever syndromes
516			i. PFAPA (Periodic Fever, Apthous Stomatitis, Pharyngitis, Adenitis)
517			ii. FMF (Familial Mediterranean Fever)
518			iii. HIDS (Hyper-IgD syndrome)
519			iv. TRAPS (Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic syndrome)
520		i.	Autoinflammatory syndromes
521			



522	Appendix C: Immunosuppressive and Immunomodulating medications, "Drug Y"
523	1. Glucocorticoids: prednisone, prednisolone, methylprednisolone, dexamethasone
524	2. Immunosuppressive/immunomodulating medications
525	a. Mycophenolate mofetil/mycophenolic acid
526	b. Azathioprine
527	c. Calcineurin inhibitors
528	i. Cyclosporine
529	ii. Tacrolimus
530	iii. Voclosporin
531	d. Apremilast
532	e. Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg)
533	f. Cyclophosphamide
534	g. Colchicine
535	h. NSAIDS
536	i. Acetaminophen
537	3. csDMARDs (conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs)
538	a. Methotrexate
539	b. Leflunomide
540	c. Sulfasalazine
541	d. Hydroxychloroquine
542	4. bDMARDS (biologic DMARDs) including biosimilars
543	a. Tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi)
544	i. Etanercept
545	ii. Infliximab
546	iii. Adalimumab
547	iv. Golimumab
548	v. Certolizumab pegol
549	b. B-cell depleting agents
550	i. Rituximab
551	ii. Ocrelizumab
552	iii. Obinutuzumab
553	c. T-cell co-stimulation blockers
554	i. Abatacept



555	d. IL-I inhibitors
556	i. Anakinra
557	ii. Canakinumab
558	iii. Rilonacept
559	e. IL-6 inhibitors
560	i. Tocilizumab
561	ii. Sarilumab
562	f. IL-17 inhibitors
563	i. Secukinumab
564	ii. Ixekizumab
565	g. IL-12/IL-23 inhibitors
566	i. Ustekinumab
567	h. IL-23 inhibitors
568	i. Guselkumab
569	ii. Tildrakizumab
570	iii. Risankizumab
571	i. BLyS/Baff inhibitors
572	i. Belimumab
573	ii. Tabalumab
574	j. Interferon alpha blockers
575	i. Anifrolumab
576	k. RANKL inhibitors
577	i. Denosumab
578	5. tsDMARDs (targeted synthetic DMARDs)
579	a. JAK inhibitors
580	i. Tofacitinib
581	ii. Baricitinib
582	iii. Upadacitinib
583	iv. Filgotinib
584	v. Ruxolitinib
585	



586	Ар	pendix	D: Vaccines of clinical interest (by mechanism of action) "Vaccine Z"
587			
588	1.	Protei	n/Subunit/Recombinant/Inactivated organism
589		a.	Seasonal influenza (inactivated or recombinant, injectable)
590			i. Standard dose
591			ii. High dose
592			iii. Adjuvanted
593		b.	Tetanus toxoid/Td/Tdap
594		с.	Hepatitis B
595		d.	Human Papilloma Virus (HPV)
596		e.	Hepatitis A
597		f.	Herpes zoster (recombinant Shingrix)
598		g.	Meningococcus B (recombinant MenBBexsero, Trumenba)
599		h.	Inactivated polio (IPV)
600		i.	COVID (when data available)
601	2.	Polysa	ccharide
602		a.	Pneumococcus (PPSV23, Pneumovax)
603		b.	Typhoid (Vi-PS, injectable)
604	3.	Conju	gate
605		a.	Pneumococcus (PCV13, Prevnar)
606		b.	Meningococcus ACWY (conjugate—MenACWY, Menactra, Menveo)
607		с.	H. influenza b (Hib)
608	4.	mRNA	and others
609		a.	SARS-COV 2(when peer reviewed published data are available) (Pfizer, Moderna,
610			Johnson & Johnson, and others, as they are available in the U.S.)
611	5.	Live at	tenuated vaccines
612		a.	MMR
613		b.	Yellow fever
614		с.	Zoster (live attenuated, Zostavax)
615		d.	Rotavirus
616		e.	Varicella
617		f.	Influenza (live attenuated, nasal spray)
618		g.	Typhoid (live attenuated, oral Ty21a)
619			



- 620 6. T-cell dependent Neo-antigens
- 621 a. Bacteriophage φX174
- b. Keyhole limpet haemocynan (KLH)



Empowering Rheumatology Professionals

# American College of Rheumatology

#### Guideline for Vaccinations in Patients with Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases

#### Project Plan – April 2021

#### APPENDIX E – Participant Disclosures - 2022 Vaccinations Guideline

In order for the College to most effectively further its mission and to otherwise maintain its excellent reputation in the medical community and with the public, it is important that confidence in the College's integrity be maintained. The cornerstone of the ACR's Disclosure Policy is disclosure of actual and potential conflicts so that they can be evaluated by the College in order to avoid undue influence of potential conflicts. The purpose of the ACR's Disclosure Policy is identification of relationships which may pose actual or potential conflicts. These actual or potential conflicts can then be evaluated by the College so that adjustments can be made that will avoid any undue influence. This policy is based on the principle that, in many cases, full disclosure of the actual or potentially conflicting relationship will of itself suffice to protect the integrity of the College and its interests.

Participants	Role	Primary Employment	Sources of Personal Income	Intellectual Property	Research Grants/Contracts	Investments to include medical industry and nonmedical industry	Organizational Benefit	Activities with Other Organizations	Family or Other Relations
Eliza Chakravarty	Core Team/Principal Investigator	Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation		N/A	NIH/NIAMS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Elie Akl	Core Team/GRADE Expert	American University of Beirut	World Health Organization	N/A	N/A	World Health Organization; Robert Koch-Institut	N/A	N/A	N/A
Joann Fontanarosa	Core Team/Lit Review Team Lead	ECRI Institute	N/A	N/A	American Cancer Society; International Society for Thrombosis and Haematosis; Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); Veteran's Administration/Department of Defense CPG program; Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI); FDA report on PLGA material and a PCORI Covid19 Horizon Scanning Project	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Clifford (Bing) O. Bingham	Core Team/Content Expert	Johns Hopkins University	Abbvie; Bristol Myers Squibb; Eli Lily; Gilead; Janssen; Pfizer; Regeneron; Sanofi/Genzyme	N/A	Bristol Myers Squibb; NIH	N/A	Abbvie; Janssen; Gilead	OMERACT	N/A
Kevin Winthrop	Core Team/Content Expert	Oregon Health & Science University	Pfizer; AbbVie; Union Chimique Belge (UCB); Eli Lilly; Galapagos; GlaxoSmithKline (GSK); Roche; Gilead	N/A	BMS; Pfizer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Laura Capelli	Core Team/Content Expert	Johns Hopkins	AbbVie	N/A	Bristol-Myers Squibb; NIAMS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Len Calabrese	Core Team/Content Expert	Cleveland Clinic	Sanofi Regeneron; GSK; Roche Genentech; AbbVie; Amgen; Myriad; UCB; Gilead; Novartis; Lily; BMS; Horizon	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Healio Rheumatology	Cassie Calabrese, daughter
Alexandra (Alex) Legge	Lit Review Team	Nova Scotia Health Authority	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Canadian Rheumatology Association; Royal College of Physicians & Surgeons of Canada	N/A
Beth Rutstein	Lit Review Team	University of Pennsylvania	N/A	N/A	The Center for Clinical Effectiveness at CHOP	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cassie Calabrese	Lit Review Team	Cleveland Clinic	Abbvie; GSK; Sanofi- Regeneron	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	National Psoriasis Foundation	Leonard Calabrese, father
Elena Gkrouzman	Lit Review Team	UMass Medical School; UMass Memorial Medical Group	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Herman Tam	Lit Review Team	Provincial Health Services Authority, BC, Canada	American College of Rheumatology	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Joanne S. Cunha	Lit Review Team	Brown University; Brown Physicians Inc (primary care + subspecialist group); Providence VA Medical Center	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kimberly Showalter	Lit Review Team	Hospital for Special Surgery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Marat Turgunbaev	Lit Review Team	American College of Rheumatology	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Megan Lockwood	Lit Review Team	Massachusetts General Hospital	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mindy Lo	Lit Review Team	Boston Children's Hospital	AAP PREP Rheumatology Advisory Board	N/A	CARRA; Glaxo-Smith-Kline	N/A	N/A	N/A	Husband consults for 2 healthcare related companies (Oncology)
Miriah C. Gillispie- Taylor	Lit Review Team	Atrium Health	N/A	N/A	Pfizer; CARRA/Arthritis Foundation; PR COIN/AF/CERT; UCB; Bristol Myers Squibb	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Namrata Singh	Lit Review Team	University of Washington	N/A	N/A	Rheumatology Research Foundation; AHA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nancy Sullivan	Lit Review Team	ECRI Institute	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Priyanka Iyer	Lit Review Team	UC Irvine Medical Center	N/A	N/A	UC Irvine Department of Medicine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rebecca Sadun	Lit Review Team	Duke University	Lupus Foundation of America	N/A	Rheumatology Research Foundation; Arthritis Foundation; Lupus Foundation of America; CRDF Global; Human Vaccine Trial Network	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Benjamin J. Smith	Voting Panel	Florida State University College of Medicine School of Physician Assistant Practice	N/A	N/A	Health Resources and Services Administration	N/A	N/A	ACR/ARP; National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants; American Academy of Physician Assistants/Johns Hopkins	N/A



Eleanor Anderson Williams	Voting Panel	The Permanente Medical Group	N/A	N/A	The Permanente Medical Group	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Jeffrey Sparks	Voting Panel	Brigham and Women's Hospital	Pfizer; Gilead; Bristol- Myers Squibb; Optum	N/A	NIH/NIAMS; Rheumatology Research Foundation; NIH/NIAID	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Jonathan TL Cheah	Voting Panel	UMass Memorial Medical Group; University of Massachusetts Medical School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lindsey Baden	Voting Panel	Brigham and Women's Hospital; New England Journal of Medicine	N/A	N/A	NIH; Wellcome Trust; Gates Foundation, IAVI	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reuben Arasaratnam	Voting Panel	UT Southwestern Medical Center	University of Kentucky; Methodist Hospital Dallas; Baylor University Medical Center; COVID- 19 survey Techspert.io, Cambridge UK.	N/A	Alliance for Academic InterN/Al Medicine	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tiphanie Vogel	Voting Panel	Baylor College of Medicine	N/A	N/A	ANR Foundation; Thraser Research Fund; RRF; CHEST Foundation; Ligums Family	N/A	N/A	OPA Syndrome Foundation	N/A
Anne Bass	Voting Panel/ACR BOD Liaison	Hospital for Special Surgery	N/A	N/A	HSS complex joint reconstruction center; HSS rheumatology council	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ida Hakkarinen	Voting Panel/Patient Rep	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sibling - William D. Hakkarinen, M.D., AAFP (past President of Maryland Academy of Family Physicians)